ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

M127448 Alaskan Copper Works Client ID: Client: Date Received: 03/03/11 Project: M127448, F&BI 103046 Lab ID: Date Extracted: 03/08/11 103046-01 x10 103046-01 x10.066 Date Analyzed: 03/08/11 Data File: Instrument: ICPMS1 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: \mathbf{AP}

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 156
Nickel 164
Copper 182
Zinc <10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Method Blank Alaskan Copper Works Client ID: Client: M127448, F&BI 103046 Date Received: Not Applicable Project: 03/08/11 Lab ID: Date Extracted: I1-162 mb 03/08/11 I1-162 mb.068 Date Analyzed: Data File: Instrument: ICPMS1 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 78 60 125

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/09/11 Date Received: 03/03/11

Project: M127448, F&BI 103046

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 103058-05 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent			
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD	
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)	
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.17	98	103	67-132	5	
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.32	99	101	73-119	2	
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	9.49	102 b	103 b	50-144	1 b	
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	1.72	95	97	46-148	2	

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent							
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance					
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria					
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	66-135					
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	10 8	67-134					
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	111	66-134					
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	57-135					

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\operatorname{pr}-\operatorname{The}$ sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Par (200) 383-5044	Smothle, WA 98119-2829	8018 16th Avenue Wast	Priedman & Brupa, Inc.				•		84he21W	Secopie ID	City, State, ZIP SEATTLE Phome # 206-54-6083	Beend Report To Genero Thompson Company ALASKAN Copper C Address 628 S. HANDER
	mil	XX						35)	10	Teth ID	1 10	Copper cus
	1) aux	A	(S)						1/8/2	1	Fax # 206-387-4709	works
	3								11:00	Time	304	17
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	(36)							tho	Sample Type	REMARKS	MOJECT NAME TO. MELTO SELS Montan
	Man	and aran				=			7	Complete et		MELTO SELEMENTAN
	Phan	hope					 			TPH-Dissel		morten
										William State	U je	
	FRBI	400							7	ia Cu nez~	A STATE OF THE STA	Wh-E21 w
	H		3								000	
75/11	3/2/11	11/5/12	DATE		_			-			Chapane after 30 days Return samples Will east with instructions	TURNABOUND THAN TOWN (C. Works) School charges substrated by
	7	-612:2								Nota	lays ractions	A STATE OF THE STA

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

March 9, 2011

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 3, 2011 from the M127448, F&BI 103046 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0309R.DOC